Appendix A - Comparative Analysis for Temporary Village Sites

This table provides a side-by-side comparison of Kam River Heritage Park and 1111 Fort William Road against site selection criteria. The icons indicate where a site demonstrates a particular strength (▲), where both are similarly aligned (②), or where alignment demonstrates a particular weakness (—).

KAM RIVER HERITAGE PARK

1111 FORT WILLAM ROAD

MUNICIPALLY OWNED	 ▲ High alignment • Municipally owned • Immediate control • no external approvals needed 	 No alignment Owned by Lakehead Region Conservation Authority Board approved lease in principle, but final Board and possible Ministerial approvals still needed
PROXIMITY TO SUPPORTIVE SERVICES	 ▲ High alignment 14 supportive services within 650m – 1.5km City Hall Public Transit Hub 600m away which services 9 bus routes 	 Moderate alignment 2 supportive services within 1.5km 5 supportive services within 1.6 – 2.0km 7 supportive services within 2.1km – 3.1km Intercity Shopping Centre transit stop 500m away which services 4 bus routes
READINESS FOR CONSTRUCTION	 High alignment Light clearing required Moderate grading required Municipal ownership enables immediate mobilization. 	 High alignment No clearing required Light grading required Potential for delays as not municipally owned

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS	 Moderate alignment Kaministiqua River nearby, safety and security measures required Railway nearby (not high speed) Not adjacent to busy roadways No residential areas within 100m Closest school 1.4km away Ice and snow build up manageable with regular maintenance 	 Moderate alignment Neebing-McIntyre Floodway nearby, safety and security measures required Adjacent to a busy roadway Two residential properties within 20m Light density residential area 80m away Closest school 900m away Ice and snow build up manageable with regular maintenance
PROXIMITY TO HISTORICAL ENCAMPMENT AREAS	▲ High alignment • Established encampment location	Moderate alignment In close proximity to areas with known encampment activity
SUFFICIENT SPACE	High alignmentAccommodates up to 100 units	High alignmentAccommodates up to 100 units
ALIGNMENT WITH GROWTH GOALS	 ▲ High alignment • Investment in service upgrades to support future park revitalization efforts 	 Moderate alignment Property is not municipally owned and thus does not support nor inhibit growth goals
EMERGENCY SERVICES ACCESS	 High alignment Good emergency services access 	High alignmentGood emergency services access

ACTIVE USES	 Moderate alignment Active use as a municipal park without recreational equipment 	▲ High alignmentNo active municipal uses
CAPITAL & OPERATING COSTS	 \$5.5M capital/infrastructure estimated Estimate for 80 units \$2.8M external funding secured to offset municipal contribution Annual operating costs estimated at \$1.5 million 	 \$5.0M capital/infrastructure Estimate for 80 units \$2.8 external funding secured to offset municipal contribution Annual operating costs estimated at \$1.5 million
SITE VISIBILITY AND LOCATION	 Moderate alignment Secluded for privacy and familiar to first responders Naturalized area 	 Moderate alignment High visibility which may lead to residents feeling uncomfortable Naturalized area
ECONOMIC IMPACT	 ▲ High alignment Parkland and buffers reduce potential impact on commercial/residential areas. Replaces an existing unmanaged encampment which is anticipated to improve cleanliness, address public health and safety risks, and reduce social disorder in the area 	 Moderate alignment Near retail hub; businesses and landowners report concerns about operational impacts